

Valuative tosets

Tom Bachmann, Marc Hoyois, Shane Kelly

Abstract

In this short note we characterise those totally ordered sets which can appear as the set of prime ideals in a valuation ring.

By $<$ we will always mean \leq . By *toset* we mean totally ordered set.

Proposition 1. *Consider the functor Spec from valuation rings to the category of tosets.*

$$\text{Spec} : \{ \text{val.rings} \} \rightarrow \{ \text{tosesets} \}$$

A toset T is in the image of this functor if and only if

(Inf) T has infimums,

(SS) T is “successor separated” in the sense that for every $a < b \in T$ there exists $t_0, t_1 \in T$ such that T decomposes as $T = [-\infty, t_0] \sqcup [t_1, \infty]$ and $a \in [-\infty, t_0], b \in [t_1, \infty]$.

Example 2. The easiest interesting example of such a toset is the subset $(\{0\} \times \mathbb{Q}) \cup (\{1\} \times \mathbb{R}) \subseteq \{0 < 1\} \times \mathbb{R}$ adjoin $\pm\infty$ (with the product given the lexicographical ordering). This is the spectrum of $\mathbb{Q}[[t^{\oplus_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Z}}]]$, cf. the proof of Prop. 7 and Prop. 10. Alternatively we could obtain this toset by considering rational functions on rationally many variables over the rationals $\mathbb{Q}(x_q : q \in \mathbb{Q})$ and defining a valuation $v : \mathbb{Q}(x_q : q \in \mathbb{Q})^* \rightarrow \oplus_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Z}$ sending x_q to the generator e_q where $\oplus_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Z}$ is given the lexicographical ordering. The valuation is uniquely determined by the properties $v(ab) = v(a) + v(b)$ and $v(a + b) = \min\{v(a), v(b)\}$ whenever $v(a) \neq v(b)$.

Remark 3. Recall that a topological space appears as the spectrum of a ring if and only if

1. it is compact, and T_0 ,
2. the compact open sets form a basis,

3. compact open sets are closed under intersection,
4. every nonempty irreducible closed subset has a unique generic point.

The condition (Inf) corresponds to existence of generic points, and (SS) corresponds to T_0 and compact opens forming a basis. Indeed, closed subsets of the spectrum correspond to subsets of the toset of the form $[-\infty, t]$, i.e., admitting a maximum, and the compact opens correspond to sets $[t_1, \infty]$ such that t_1 is a successor, cf. Prop.14, Prop.15.

Definition 4. *Recall that an isolated subgroup of a totally ordered abelian group G is a subgroup H such that: if $a \in H, b \in G$ satisfy $-a \leq b \leq a$ then $b \in H$.*

The following proposition is standard.

Proposition 5. *Let R be a valuation ring with fraction field K . The valuation*

$$v : K^* \rightarrow K^*/R^* =: G$$

induces an inclusion reversing bijection between primes of R and isolated subgroups of G . An isolated subgroup H corresponds to the prime $\mathfrak{p}_H = \{r \in R : H < v(r)\}$.

Remark 6. The group G is linearly ordered by $[a] \leq [b]$ if $b/a \in R$.

Proof. Follows directly from $v(ab) = v(a) + v(b)$ and $v(a+b) \geq \min\{v(a), v(b)\}$. □

Proposition 7. *Every totally ordered abelian group is the value group of some valuation ring.*

Proof. Let G be a totally ordered abelian group. The standard choice is *Hahn series* $\mathbb{Q}[[t^G]] \subseteq \text{hom}_{\text{Set}}(G_{\geq 0}, \mathbb{Q})$. This is the set of functions whose support is well-ordered, with addition and multiplication induced in the way suggested by the notation $\sum_{g \in G_{\geq 0}} a_g t^g$ for a function $a_- : G_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}; g \mapsto a_g$. □

Due to the above two propositions we are now reduced to classifying tosets of isolated subgroups in totally ordered abelian groups.

Totally order abelian groups have analogues of Proposition 5 and Proposition 7.

Definition 8. Say that two positive elements $x, y \in G_{>0}$ of a totally ordered abelian group are commensurate if there exist positive integers $n, m > 0$ such that $x \leq ny$ and $y \leq mx$. Evidently, this is an equivalence relation, and the order relation on G induces a total order on the set $T = G_{>0}/\sim$ of equivalence classes via the canonical projection $G_{>0} \times G_{>0} \rightarrow T \times T$. In other words, $G_{>0} \rightarrow T$ is a surjection of tosets.

Proposition 9. Let G be a totally ordered abelian group and $p : G_{>0} \rightarrow G_{>0}/\sim := T$ the toset of commensurate equivalence classes. Then

$$\text{Sub}(T) \rightarrow \text{Sub}(G)$$

$$S \subseteq T \mapsto \{g \in G : p(|g|) < S\} := H_S$$

induces an inclusion reversing bijection between the isolated subgroups of G and the right closed subsets of T .

Here $|g| = g$ if $0 \leq g$ and $-g$ if $g < 0$, and we define $p(0) = -\infty$. By right-closed we mean $t \leq t', t \in S \Rightarrow t' \in S$.

Proof. Suppose $S \subseteq T$ is a subset. We will show that H_S is a subgroup. For this, it suffices to show that given $0 < x \leq y$ in H_S we have $x + y \in H_S$ and $y - x \in H_S$. For the first one we observe that $y \leq x + y \leq y + y = 2y$ so $p(y) = p(x + y)$. For the second one we observe $y - x \leq y$ so $p(y - x) \leq p(y) < S$. Next, H_S is isolated: given $x, y \in G$ with $-x \leq y \leq x$ and $x \in H_S$, we have $p(|y|) \leq p(|x|) < S$. So we conclude that $S \mapsto H_S$ sends subsets to isolated subgroups.

Injectivity. Suppose that S, S' are two right closed subsets. If $S \subsetneq S'$, then there is some $p(|x|) \in S' \setminus S$. Since S is right closed, and $p(|x|) \notin S$, we must have $p(|x|) < S$, so $x \in H_S$. But $p(x) \in S'$, so $x \notin H_{S'}$. Hence, $S \neq S' \Rightarrow H_S \neq H_{S'}$.

Surjectivity. We make the following sequence of observations.

1. The set of left closed subsets of T is bijective to the set of right closed subsets under $L \mapsto S_L = \{t : L < t\}$ with inverse the assignment $S \mapsto L_S = \{t : t < S\}$.
2. The map in the proposition is $S \mapsto (-p^{-1}(L_S)) \cup \{0\} \cup (p^{-1}(L_S))$ where $p : G_{>0} \rightarrow T$ is the canonical projection.
3. Every subgroup H of G is uniquely determined by its set of positive elements $H = (-H_{>0}) \cup \{0\} \cup (H_{>0})$.

4. If H is an isolated subgroup, then $p^{-1}p(H_{>0}) = H_{>0}$. Indeed, if $h \in H_{>0}, g \in G_{>0}$ are commensurate, then $-nh \leq g \leq nh$ for some positive integer n , so $g \in H_{>0}$.

It follows from the above observations that any isolated subgroup H is the image of the right closed subset $S_{p(H_{>0})} \subseteq T$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= (-H_{>0}) \cup \{0\} \cup (H_{>0}) \\
&= -p^{-1}p(H_{>0}) \cup \{0\} \cup p^{-1}p(H_{>0}) \\
&= -p^{-1}(L_{S_{p(H_{>0})}}) \cup \{0\} \cup p^{-1}(L_{S_{p(H_{>0})}}) \\
&= \text{im}(S_{p(H_{>0})})
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 10. *Every toset T appears as the toset of commensurate equivalence classes of some totally ordered abelian group G .*

Proof. Take $G = \bigoplus_{t \in T} \mathbb{Z}$ with the lexicographical ordering. □

So now we have reduced the problem to classifying tosets in the image of the functor $RCS\text{ub} : \text{Toset} \rightarrow \text{Toset}$ which sends a toset to its set of right closed subsets with the relation $A \leq B$ if $A \supseteq B$ (this convention matches the fact that $v(a) \leq v(b) \iff aR \supseteq bR$ for $a, b \in R$).

Proposition 11. *A toset is in the image of $RCS\text{ub}$ if and only if it satisfies (Inf) and (SS) from Proposition 1.*

Proof. Consider some $RCS\text{ub}(T)$ in the image of $RCS\text{ub}$. Since right closed subsets are closed under union, the toset $RCS\text{ub}(T)$ satisfies (Inf). Consider $A \supseteq B$ in $RCS\text{ub}(T)$. If $A \neq B$, there is some $a \in A \setminus B$. Define $T_0 := T_{\geq a}$ and $T_1 := T_{> a}$. We then have $A \supseteq T_0 \supset T_1 \supseteq B$. Moreover, for every right closed subset $C \in RCS\text{ub}(T)$ either, $a \in C$ or $a \notin C$, so either $C \supseteq T_0$ or $T_1 \supseteq C$. So $RCS\text{ub}(T)$ satisfies (SS).

On the other hand, suppose that T satisfies (Inf) and (SS). Define

$$S = \{t \in T : T = [-\infty, t] \sqcup [t_1, \infty] \text{ for some } t_1 \in T\}$$

Since T satisfies (Inf) there is a map $\text{inf} : RCS\text{ub}(S) \rightarrow T$. We claim this is an isomorphism.

Injectivity. Suppose $A, B \subseteq S$ are two right closed subsets of S with $\text{inf } A = \text{inf } B$. Since they are right closed, either $A \subseteq B$ or $B \subseteq A$. Suppose $A \subseteq B$. If $A \neq B$ then there is some $b \in B \setminus A$. Since $b \in B \subseteq S$, it

has a successor b_1 , satisfying $b < b_1$ and $T = [-\infty, b] \sqcup [b_1, \infty]$. If $b_1 \leq A$ then we have a contradiction by $\inf A = \inf B \leq b < b_1 \leq A$. So there is some $a \in A$ with $a < b_1$. But then $b < a < b_1$ gives a contradiction to $T = [-\infty, b] \sqcup [b_1, \infty]$. So we deduce that $A = B$.

Surjectivity. It suffices to see that for any $t \in T$, we have $t = \inf\{s \in S : t \leq s\}$. Proof by contradiction. If t is not this inf, then there is some t' with $t < t' \leq S$. But by (SS) there is a successor pair x_0, x_1 with $t \leq x_0 < x_1 \leq t'$. That is, there is $x_0 \in S$ with $t \leq x_0 < t'$. This contradicts $t' \leq s \in S : t \leq s$. \square

Remark 12. The relationship between prime ideals of a valuation ring R and right closed subsets of the toset of commensurate equivalence classes of its value group is completely transparent. The prime corresponding to a right closed subset of T is precisely the preimage under the composition of the two canonical projections

$$\pi : R \setminus R^* \rightarrow G_{>0} \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow T \cup \{\infty\}$$

where $G := K^*/R^*$ and $T := G_{>0}/\sim$. Or in other words, the composition above induces an inclusion preserving isomorphism

$$\text{Spec}(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{RCSub}(T).$$

We can read the topology off from the inherent structure of the toset.

Remark 13. The successor pairs $T_0 \supset T_1$ of $\text{RCSub}(T)$ correspond precisely to the quasi-compact opens $\text{Spec}(R_{\pi^{-1}T_1})$ of R , or equivalently, the principal closed subsets $\text{Spec}(R/\pi^{-1}T_0)_{\text{red}}$. Indeed, for any $f \in R$ with $\pi(f) \in T_0 \setminus T_1$, we have $\text{Spec}(R_{\pi^{-1}T_1}) = \text{Spec}(R_f)$ and $\text{Spec}(R/\pi^{-1}T_0)_{\text{red}} = \text{Spec}(R/f)_{\text{red}}$.

Proposition 14. *Let R be a valuation ring and \mathfrak{p} a non-maximal prime. The following are equivalent.*

1. $\text{Spec}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \subseteq \text{Spec}(R)$ is open.
2. $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = R_f$ for some nonunit $f \in R$.
3. \mathfrak{p} is a successor. That is, there exists a prime $\mathfrak{p}_0 \supset \mathfrak{p}$ such that there are no primes between \mathfrak{p}_0 and \mathfrak{p} .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). If the quasi-compact subscheme $\text{Spec}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is open, then it admits a finite cover of the form $\{\text{Spec}(R_{f_i})\}_{i=1}^n$. But being finite, one of the elements has to be maximal.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). If $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = R_f$, then $\text{Spec}(R)$ decomposes into $\text{Spec}(R_f)$ and $\text{Spec}(R/f)$. The latter, being closed, has a generic point.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). If \mathfrak{p} is a successor, with predecessor \mathfrak{p}_0 , then as a set, we have $\text{Spec}(R) = \text{Spec}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \sqcup \text{Spec}(R/\mathfrak{p}_0)$. Since $\text{Spec}(R/\mathfrak{p}_0)$ is closed, $\text{Spec}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ must be open. \square

Proposition 15. *Let R be a valuation ring and $Z \subseteq \text{Spec}(R)$ a closed subset. The following are equivalent.*

1. $Z = \text{Spec}(R/f)_{red}$ for some $f \in R$.
2. $\text{Spec}(R) \setminus Z$ is quasi-compact.
3. The generic point of Z has a successor (in $\text{Spec}(R)$).

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). If $Z = \text{Spec}(R/f)_{red}$ then $\text{Spec}(R) \setminus Z \cong \text{Spec}(R_f)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). If $\text{Spec}(R) \setminus Z$ is quasi-compact then any cover by basic opens $\{\text{Spec}(R_{f_i})\}$ has a finite subcover, and since the primes of R are totally ordered, is equal to one of the $\text{Spec}(R_{f_i})$. Therefore $\text{Spec}(R) \setminus Z$ has a maximal prime.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). If the generic point \mathfrak{p}_0 of Z has a successor \mathfrak{p}_1 , then $Z = \text{Spec}(R/f)_{red}$ for every $f \in \mathfrak{p}_1 \setminus \mathfrak{p}_0$. \square

Remark 16. For any toset T there is a canonical injection $T \rightarrow RCSub(T)$; $t \mapsto T_{>t}$ and its image is intrinsically recognisable as the subset of elements of $RCSub(T)$ having an immediate successor

$$T \cong \{T_0 \in RCSub(T) : RCSub(T) = [T, T_0] \sqcup [T_1, \emptyset] \text{ for some } T_1\}.$$

Indeed, for every $t \in T$ we take $T_1 = T_{>t}$, and conversely, a right closed subset T_0 has a successor T_1 if and only if $T_0 \setminus T_1$ is a singleton.

Remark 17. The above suggests that a sensible generalisation of the *rank* of a valuation ring could be the totally ordered set of primes admitting a successor. This would give the valuation ring of Exam.2 the more sensible rank \mathbb{Q} instead of the unwieldy $\{\pm\infty\} \cup (\{0\} \times \mathbb{Q}) \cup (\{1\} \times \mathbb{R})$.