



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Topology and its Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/topol


Inner products on the Hecke algebra of the braid group

 Tamás Kálmán¹

Tokyo Institute of Technology, 152-8551 Meguro, Tokyo, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 September 2010

Accepted 27 December 2010

MSC:

primary 57M27

secondary 20C08

Keywords:

Homfly polynomial

Braids

Braid index

Hecke algebra

ABSTRACT

We claim that the Homfly polynomial (that is to say, Ocneanu's trace functional) contains two polynomial-valued inner products on the Hecke algebra representation of Artin's braid group. These bear a close connection to the Morton–Franks–Williams inequality. With respect to these structures, the set of positive, respectively negative permutation braids becomes an orthonormal basis. In the second case, many inner products can be geometrically interpreted through Legendrian fronts and rulings.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In this note we make a few observations on the classical Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_n(z)$ and its trace that lead to a new interpretation of how the Morton–Franks–Williams inequality fails to be sharp for many braids. Namely, from the trace we extract two inner products and claim that the Homfly polynomial of an n -string braid has v -width $2n - 2$ if and only if the braid is *not* orthogonal to the unit braid with respect to either of them. While this is more or less a tautology, our other main theorem is less obvious. Namely, we show that the inner products have orthonormal bases consisting of permutation braids.

Our results are algebraic but their proofs build on a connection between link polynomials and Legendrian knot theory. Estimates on the maximal Thurston–Bennequin number of a Legendrian link in terms of its Kauffman and Homfly polynomials had been known for a while when Rutherford [10] established a more quantitative relation: he equated certain Kauffman and Homfly coefficients with counts of so-called rulings. His formula will be crucial for our argument.

It is our hope that the type of link we present here between representation theory and contact geometry will be further explored.

2. The Hecke algebra

We recall some basics from Jones's seminal paper [6]. As an algebra, $\mathcal{H}_n(z)$ is generated over the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbf{Z}[z]$ by the same symbols $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}$ (and their inverses) as Artin's braid group B_n . In addition to the standard relations of B_n (that is, $\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i$ for $|i - j| \geq 2$ and $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$ for all i) we also impose

$$\sigma_i - \sigma_i^{-1} = z \quad \text{for all } i.$$

E-mail address: kalman@math.titech.ac.jp.

URL: <http://math.titech.ac.jp/~kalman>.

¹ I acknowledge support by a Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists B (no. 21740041).

For us, the Hecke algebra is significant because of its role in the original definition of the Homfly and Jones polynomials [6] (see also [9] for a concise survey). Namely, using Ocneanu’s trace $\text{Tr} : \mathcal{H}_n(z) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[z, T]$, the framed Homfly polynomial H of a braid $\beta \in B_n$ is obtained as

$$H_\beta(v, z) = \left(\frac{v^{-1} - v}{z} \right)^{n-1} \cdot \text{Tr}(\beta) \Big|_{T = \frac{z}{1-v^2}}. \tag{1}$$

In words: for $\beta \in B_n$, take its natural representation $\beta \in \mathcal{H}_n(z)$ (a common abuse of notation) and its trace, substitute $T = z/(1 - v^2)$ in it, and normalize suitably.

If β has exponent sum w , then $P_{\widehat{\beta}}(v, z) = v^w H_\beta(v, z)$ is an expression for the Homfly polynomial of the oriented link $\widehat{\beta}$ which is the closure of β . This is the version of the invariant that satisfies the skein relation

$$v^{-1} P_{\searrow} - v P_{\nearrow} = z P_{\smile}$$

and the normalizing condition that the unknot has $P_{\bigcirc}(v, z) = 1$.

From (1), it is not hard to deduce the famous Morton–Franks–Williams inequalities [8,4]:

$$-n + 1 \leq \text{exponent of } v \text{ in } H_\beta(v, z) \leq n - 1. \tag{2}$$

We will refer to these as the lower and upper MFW estimates. The well known bound on the braid index of an oriented link is their immediate consequence. We will pay special attention to the “extremal parts” of H_β with respect to (2). In that spirit, let the left column of H_β be denoted with

$$L_\beta(z) = z^{n-1} \text{ times the coefficient of } v^{1-n} \text{ in } H_\beta(v, z).$$

Definition 1. For any $\beta \in B_n$, let β^* denote the braid obtained by writing the letters of a braid word representative of β in reverse order. Now for any two braids α and β on n strands, define their left inner product by the formula

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_L = L_{\alpha\beta^*}(z).$$

This depends only on the braids and not on their representatives. The left inner product has a well-defined extension to the entire algebra $\mathcal{H}_n(z)$ as a bilinear form with values in $\mathbf{Z}[z]$. It is also symmetric:

Lemma 2. For any $\alpha, \beta \in B_n$, we have $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_L = \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle_L$.

Proof. Consider the standard planar diagram for the closure of $\alpha\beta^*$, and a line l in the plane that is (roughly) perpendicular to the braid strands. Rotate the diagram about l in 180° in three-space. The result is a standard diagram for the closure of $\beta\alpha^*$ (hence the two closures are isotopic), except that the orientations of the strands are all reversed. Such an overall change of orientation does not affect the Homfly polynomial P . Since the exponent sums in $\alpha\beta^*$ and $\beta\alpha^*$ are the same, they also share the same framed Homfly polynomial. \square

As a $\mathbf{Z}[z]$ -module, $\mathcal{H}_n(z)$ is free of rank $n!$. We will need two of its well known bases: the set of positive permutation braids, $\mathbf{\Omega} = \{\omega_\pi\}_{\pi \in S_n}$, and that of negative permutation braids, $\mathbf{N} = \{v_\pi\}_{\pi \in S_n}$.

3. Legendrian front diagrams

The proof of our main result in the next section uses some standard techniques from Legendrian knot theory. The summary given here is kept to a bare minimum while the interested reader is referred to [3].

The standard contact structure ξ in \mathbf{R}^3_{xyz} is the kernel of the 1-form $dz - ydx$. A smooth link is Legendrian if it is everywhere tangent to ξ . The front projection of such a curve appears on the xz -plane. Generic fronts are immersed except for finitely many cusps. Since $y = dz/dx$, they do not have self-tangencies or tangents parallel to the z -axis (hence they contain an equal number of left and right cusps), and at their transverse self-intersections, the strand with lower slope appears as the overcrossing one.

Let f be a generic, oriented front diagram with $2C$ cusps. Its Thurston–Bennequin number is $tb(f) = w(f) - C$, where w is the writhe.

An oriented ruling [2,5] of f is a collection S of positive crossings in f with the following properties. After performing the smoothing operation $\times \rightarrow \smile$ on all elements of S , the resulting diagram is a (not necessarily disjoint) union of eyes, that is simple closed curves so that each contains exactly one left and one right cusp. The two arcs at the site of the smoothing of any $p \in S$ belong to different eyes, say e_1 and e_2 . Finally, if we draw a line parallel to the z -axis through p , then the segments in which it intersects the interiors of e_1 and e_2 are either disjoint or contained in one another.

One measure of the complexity of a ruling is $\theta = C - |S|$ and then we may measure the complexity of a front f by summing $z^{1-\theta}$ over all of its oriented rulings. This Laurent polynomial is called the (oriented) ruling polynomial of f . A key connection was provided by Rutherford [10] when he showed the following.




Fig. 1. The Legendrian tangle T_π of the three-cycle $\pi = (143) \in S_4$.

Theorem 3. *The ruling polynomial of the front f coincides with the coefficient of $v^{tb(f)+1}$ in the Homfly polynomial $P_K(v, z)$ of the oriented link type K represented by f .*

Note in this regard that (by the transverse push-off trick, Bennequin’s results on braiding transverse links [1], and (2)) the minimum v -degree in $P_K(v, z)$ is an upper bound for $tb(f) + 1$ for all fronts f representing K .

The following construction will be helpful in the next section. Let $\pi \in S_n$ be a permutation. We define a Legendrian tangle T_π as follows. Place the permutation matrix of π on the xz -plane as indicated in the left panel of Fig. 1 (with the 1’s of the matrix represented by dots). Start line segments in the northwest and southwest directions from each dot as shown. Finally, turn the union of the segments into a front diagram as in the right panel, replacing the dots with cusps. Note that T_π is a Legendrian realization of the negative permutation braid v_π .

4. The main result

Theorem 4. *The basis $\mathbf{N} = \{v_\pi\}_{\pi \in S_n}$ of $\mathcal{H}_n(z)$ consisting of negative permutation braids is orthonormal with respect to the left inner product.*

Proof. Let π and κ be elements of S_n . Consider the Legendrian representative of $\widehat{v_\pi v_\kappa^*}$ whose front projection is shown in the left side of Fig. 2. It is constructed from the tangle T_π and $\varphi(T_\kappa)$, where φ is a rotation in 180° about the z -axis in three-space. Observe that it has oriented rulings if and only if $\pi = \kappa$, and in that case a unique one with $\theta = n$. (Because all crossings are negative in the front, the only possible oriented ruling is the empty set, and for that to work, the left and right cusps have to be readily matched up.) Thus its ruling polynomial is either $\sum z^{1-\theta} = z^{1-n}$ or 0 depending on whether $\pi = \kappa$ or not. As the Thurston–Bennequin number of this front is $w - n$, where the non-positive quantity w is the combined algebraic number of crossings in π and κ , from Rutherford’s theorem we see that this ruling polynomial is the coefficient of v^{w-n+1} in the Homfly polynomial, that is the coefficient of v^{-n+1} in the framed Homfly polynomial. The theorem follows immediately. \square

Corollary 5. *The expansion of any braid β in \mathbf{N} is obtained as*

$$\beta = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \langle \beta, v_\pi \rangle_L \cdot v_\pi.$$

If β is a positive braid, these coefficients always have a geometric interpretation as ruling polynomials. Namely, $\langle \beta, v_\pi \rangle_L$ is the ruling polynomial of the front diagram shown in the right side of Fig. 2. Here, β is to be drawn in the indicated box horizontally, with crossings appearing as \curvearrowright . (The key is that the diagram only contains $2n$ cusps, thus its Thurston–Bennequin number is its writhe minus n . A similar construction and the same reasoning applies to $\beta = \beta_1 v \beta_2$, where β_1 and β_2 are positive and v is a negative permutation braid.)

Emulating Definition 1, a *right inner product* may be defined using the coefficient of the other extreme term in the framed Homfly polynomial:

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_R = (-z)^{n-1} \text{ times the coefficient of } v^{n-1} \text{ in } H_{\alpha\beta^*}(v, z).$$




Fig. 2. Front diagram built out of two negative permutation braids (left) and a positive braid and a negative permutation braid (right).

With respect to this, the basis Ω of positive permutation braids is orthonormal. One easy way of showing this is by noting the identity $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_L = \langle \alpha^{-1}, \beta^{-1} \rangle_R$ for any braids $\alpha, \beta \in B_n$.

Our final theorem appeared as Remark 3.3 in [7]. The present paper grew out of a desire to clarify what was then a rather vague suggestion.

Theorem 6. *The lower (resp. upper) MFW estimate (2) is not sharp for the braid β if and only if β is orthogonal in terms of the left (resp. right) inner product to the unit braid in the Hecke algebra.*

Proof. Note that $\langle \beta, 1 \rangle_L = L_\beta(z)$ and thus it is 0 if and only if the first inequality in (2) is not sharp. The exact same argument applies to $\langle \beta, 1 \rangle_R$. \square

We close the paper by indicating two possible directions for future research. The fact that the left and right inner products are polynomial-valued suggests a rich structure that might be further explored. For example, one may ask about specific values of z : are some more significant than others? Can we associate special z values to particular braids in a meaningful way?

Also, one may wonder whether other parts of the Homfly polynomial can be used to define inner products, as well as if there are natural inner products that make other bases, such as the Kazhdan–Lusztig basis, orthogonal.

References

- [1] D. Bennequin, Entrelacements et équations de Pfaff (Links and Pfaffian equations), *Astérisque* 107–108 (1982) 87–161 (in French).
- [2] Y.V. Chekanov, P.E. Pushkar, Combinatorics of fronts of Legendrian links and Arnold’s 4-conjectures, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* 60 (1 (361)) (2005) 99–154 (in Russian); English translation in: *Russian Math. Surveys* 60 (1) (2005) 95–149.
- [3] J. Etnyre, Legendrian and transversal knots, in: *Handbook of Knot Theory*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2005, pp. 105–185.
- [4] J. Franks, R.F. Williams, Braids and the Jones polynomial, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 303 (1987) 97–108.
- [5] D. Fuchs, Chekanov–Eliashberg invariants of Legendrian knots: existence of augmentations, *J. Geom. Phys.* 47 (1) (2003) 43–65.
- [6] V. Jones, Hecke algebra representations of braid groups and link polynomials, *Ann. Math.* 126 (1987) 335–388.
- [7] T. Kálmán, Meridian twisting of closed braids and the Homfly polynomial, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 146 (3) (2009) 649–660.
- [8] H.R. Morton, Seifert circles and knot polynomials, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 99 (1986) 107–109.
- [9] H.R. Morton, Polynomials from braids, in: J.S. Birman, A. Libgober (Eds.), *Braids*, in: *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 78, 1988, pp. 375–385.
- [10] D. Rutherford, The Bennequin number, Kauffman polynomial, and ruling invariants of a Legendrian link: the Fuchs conjecture and beyond, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2006), Art. ID 78591.